

Approved September 27, 2016
Municipal Building
Park Ridge, NJ
August 9, 2016 – 8:18pm

A Regular Meeting of the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge was called to order at the above, time, place and date.

Mayor Maguire led those attending in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Councilmember Szot, Councilmember Misciagna, Councilmember Capilli,
Council President Bosi, Mayor Maguire

Absent: Councilmember Bertini, Councilmember Oppelt

Also Present: John Ten Hoeve, Jr., Esq., Borough Attorney
Kelley O'Donnell, Administrator
Joseph Kolodziej, Chief Financial Officer

Mayor Maguire Reads Compliance Statement, as required by Open Public Meeting Act, P.L. 1975, Chapter 231.

AGENDA CHANGES

The following Resolution is being removed from the Consent Agenda:

- Resolution No. 016-236- Authorizing Award of Contract for Mounted Radar Speed Signs – RU2 Systems, Inc.

Mayor Maguire announced that former Mayor, Edward Cornell, passed away.

Mayor Maguire also announced that the Park Ridge Fire Department requests no parking in the lot by the Fire House. In addition, it was announced that PKRG is looking for new members.

PUBLIC PRIVILEGE OF THE FLOOR:

Mayor Maguire asks if anyone present wishes to be heard on any matter.

Upon recognition by the Mayor, the person shall proceed to the floor and give his/her name and address in an audible tone of voice for the records. Unless further time is granted by the Council, he/she shall limit his/her statement to five (5) minutes. Statements shall be addressed to the Council as a body and not to any member thereof. No person, other than the person having the floor, shall be permitted to enter into any discussion, without recognition by the Mayor.

Amara Wagner – 164 Midland – (Inaudible).....in that area through Eminent Domain we could theoretically put up affordable housing.

Mayor Maguire: The town wouldn't acquire them. The town, I don't know maybe the lawyers would – I guess we would acquire them.

Bob Goldsmith: Actually under the redevelopment statute, the town could delegate that power to the redevelopers, its rarely done – so the likelihood is if the town had decided that it wanted to use that power, we would begin the process permitting use of Eminent Domain through the good faith negotiations to try to acquire it, but ultimately the municipality could ask the court to take the property, transfer it to the municipality, and the municipality would then transfer that property to the redeveloper.

Mayor Maguire: But again, we did not want to use Eminent Domain here.

Ms. Wagner: And there is no chance that we can go back knowing that we need to fulfill affordable housing requirements? And the reason I'm asking the question – I know I'm at five minutes – but really the idea of having part of Kinderkamack Road be redeveloped and then other parts - so half of it being four or five, obviously nobody really wants it to be five stories, and then one story buildings right next to it and also our need for affordable housing, which is obvious to all of us and why most of us are here this evening. It seems that close to the train station at the center of the town would be the obvious place to put affordable housing so if there is a way for us to – none of it is ideal – but obviously the affordable housing requirements are not ideal, but if there is a way for us to put affordable housing in that area to make it uniform, to have a centralized kind of redeveloped downtown and to meet our housing requirements, that way that would be desirable – that would be something that I would really encourage you to look into if there is a way.

Mayor Maguire: Certainly, there are ways to accomplish a lot of things. I know you've been at a lot of the meetings and you've heard a lot of discussions about the creation of the Redevelopment Zone and everything that went into that. It is certainly a complex process.

Mr. Goldsmith: To give you some context – the Redevelopment Statute started in 1950 after the Second World War. They were amended in 2013 and the reaction to the use of Eminent Domain became something that was politically very controversial. The legislature permits municipalities to make the judgment at the beginning of the process whether they are going to opt out of Eminent Domain as a tool. As of now, Park Ridge made that judgment. It is kind of like “*Chutes and Ladders.*” If Park Ridge wants to change that judgment, it has to go back to the beginning of the process. In the beginning, the game would start all over.

Ms. Wagner: That was my question and what I wanted to share with you.

Mayor Maguire: Your point is taken.

Ms. Wagner: I really feel like if the downtown could accommodate more affordable housing that is obviously ideal.

Mayor Maguire: The affordable housing gets complicated in terms of the set aside and to make something viable, and that is what we are going through now with the Redevelopment Zone, is to look at the financials and when you start adding 20% of deed restricted rent controlled apartments to the development, that is what makes the whole issue with four and five stories.

Ms. Wagner: That's if there is a developer, but if it is a municipal area, we don't have to worry about the developer making money on it but the economics still have to work of course.

Mayor Maguire: And then the town would have to buy it and I know folks are familiar with the town's purchase of the old post office and how that went.

Ms. Wagner: Right.

Mayor Maguire: Yes, point is taken, thank you. I introduced Special Counsel, Bob Goldsmith, and I guess Bob they are asking you to use the microphones because we are trying to create the recording.

Dawn McPartland – 85 River Vale Road - I just happened to remember – I guess I think it was in the Redevelopment Plan – I believe there was maybe a Pilot Agreement that we were going to be doing - I think it was \$45,000.

Mr. Goldsmith: I think what was in the Redevelopment Plan was the possibility of a payment in lieu of tax structure but no decision on what the program would be.

Ms. McPartland: So would that be just a one-time payment?

Mr. Goldsmith: No.

Ms. McPartland: Or would that be until the development is completed? How does that work?

Mr. Goldsmith: Payment in lieu of taxes is a statutory payment to the municipality in lieu of taxes on the annual basis for up to thirty years or thirty-five years from the date of the agreement and then it is subject to real property taxes.

Ms. McPartland: For up to thirty years?

Mr. Goldsmith: Yes.

Ms. McPartland: So what kind of taxes are we bringing in right now for those parcels?

Mayor Maguire: I think the number was about \$140,000 of which the Borough gets 22% of that.

Ms. McPartland: So \$140,000 and 22% – that's about \$30,000/\$40,000?

Mayor Maguire: Correct.

Ms. McPartland: So we could possibly make an agreement where we are only going to get \$45,000 for thirty years?

Mayor Maguire: No – it would considerably more than that.

Ms. McPartland: So \$45,000 was in the initial....

Mayor Maguire: That's what it is today.

Mr. Goldsmith: I'm not aware of any \$45,000 number.

Ms. McPartland: I remember seeing \$45,000 either in one of the development plans or something at one point in time so that is why I am just questioning.

Mayor Maguire: The current properties generate and tax today in their current state.

Ms. McPartland: So nothing has been signed as far as what we would do or?

Mayor Maguire: No, we are still negotiating the size of the property – how many units.

Ms. McPartland: Is that something that would come through a resolution that the public would know about beforehand?

Mr. Goldsmith: If there were a financial agreement, it would have to be adopted by ordinance by the Governing Body – not even by resolution - it would be adopted by ordinance.

Ms. McPartland: O.k., thank you very much.

Mayor Maguire: Thank you.

Susan DeLorenzo – 22 West Park Avenue – I still am very confused. I just want to maybe try to figure it out. My daughter Kate is here. She lives in Park Ridge. I have a daughter Cassandra who lives in Park Ridge. I have a brother that lives in Park Ridge and I have a new son-in-law who bought a house in Park Ridge. We are being impacted very highly here and we are really all trying to understand in the middle of all of stuff that we're doing. Number one – I know that there are two big issues – one we've got the downtown – the five stories and I know a lot of people are crazy – don't want the five stories – I don't want the five stories. I've been at three airports in the past three days and I've looked all around at all the different cities around us and towns – and when you start getting into the four or five high, it really changes the dynamic of the town and everything as you go traveling around this area, but I understand that we do have to redevelop. I know that we have to make progress but I'm really asking that the character of

the town be really kept in mind when we do this and the traffic...Are we doing a traffic study or not? I'm kind of confused about that.

Mayor Maguire: We have and we will do additional traffic studies once we size up the project.

Ms. DeLorenzo: And then who decides at what point in time it becomes too onerous to have so many apartments and that kind of thing – like is it the people on the Council that will decide that?

Mayor Maguire: So ultimately, yes. The Council will either approve or not approve of the redevelopment agreement.

Ms. DeLorenzo: Agreement, o.k. - I'm going to say something about Eminent Domain – I'm not a fan. I think it is one of those things that unless it is absolutely necessary for something that we steer clear of. I'm a believer in private property rights, and that's why I'm a little bit "stickish" about the whole Sony property. When people buy property, it is their property, however, when you buy property and it is zoned one way, I think that is when you go into something – you went into it and that was your understanding. How much of that when you guys consider this – how much of that is in your mind about the rezoning? From what I understood in the last meeting, I was getting the feeling that we really don't have a choice.

Mayor Maguire: So first off, I want to commend you for coming out and asking the questions so hopefully we will try to reduce the confusion because it certainly is confusing topics.

Ms. DeLorenzo: Yes, they are.

Mayor Maguire: In terms of affordable housing and what's going on in terms of property owner rights -certainly, any property owner can come in and propose what they want and certainly the town can reject it. What I think you are referring to is the other voice at the table...

Ms. DeLorenzo: Litigation, I think that is going on.

Mayor Maguire: The other voice at the table, if you will, is affordable housing litigation that is going on across the State of New Jersey. So certainly that enters into that the property owner's rights here in this case because they have filed affordable housing litigation against us. I'll say it up front – we are in litigation on this topic and everything this Council says is being recorded and certainly there are folks that will hear it and so we are very cautious what we say.

Ms. De Lorenzo: Perhaps, I am going to say too much. My feeling is that we are trying to address something down here when it is up there in the State that really the issues have come down from. It is activist judges and I know you know how I feel about these things. I think there is a level of shall we say pay-to-play or whatever that kind of stuff is. The building where you, what's it called? I'm totally blanking out right now – that they can build – Builder's Remedy. I don't even know how something like that came to be. It's like – what do you mean – you get to build 700 units so that you can build twenty. It blows my mind so I wonder sometimes is there any of the towns getting together with other towns in New Jersey to really start to say, "Hey, let's form a body that is fighting this kind of thing" because we really have lost our ability to determine our own being. After a while you have to think of your town as not really having any power whatsoever. The State can come in and just tell you what to do. There is no self-determination. I don't know – I kind of feel like our country was founded on that kind of stuff and I feel like it is lost.

Mayor Maguire: I certainly appreciate your comments and certainly it is something that we all struggle with because we all support what we call "home rule" where we control our own destiny and control our own zoning rules. I try to explain to folks what affordable housing is, but I'm not a fan of it, so if anyone thinks I am a fan of the affordable housing doctrine – affordable housing is not a bad thing, but the doctrine itself is something that we really struggle with and that's what it is. It is a doctrine that came out of court cases. It is so complicated that folks have made a career out of just explaining what it is and what the regulations are.

Ms. DeLorenzo: Right.

Mayor Maguire: There are so many questions about it and at every turn we learn something new. If somebody asks me, “Can’t we make it all seniors and veterans – the affordable housing rent controlled apartments and make them for veterans and seniors?” We said, “Absolutely” - well that is regulated by the doctrine and it only be 25% of the units can be for veterans and seniors.

Ms. DeLorenzo: So is there anybody though that is fighting this – fighting these laws – fighting these agendas that are being pushed? I mean, I’m trying to figure out if there is. I haven’t seen anything other than the individuals that come to these meetings. Is there anybody overarching?

Mr. Goldsmith: You mentioned the founding of the country. The country established three branches – one is the Judiciary.

Ms. DeLorenzo: Yes, I know.

Mr. Goldsmith: The Judiciary is the final arbiter of what is constitutional and the Supreme Court of New Jersey has said that the affordable mandate is something that is required by the Constitution of the State of the New Jersey. This is imposed by the New Jersey Supreme Court and there really isn’t an appeal from the New Jersey Supreme Court. The way to address it, and you heard this at the last meeting, is to push the legislature to seek a constitutional amendment that changes things but that is a very long road to hoe.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: And even that would be questionable...

Mr. Goldsmith: Under the U.S. Constitution.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Whether the United States Supreme Court would ever uphold that.

Mr. Goldsmith: That’s right.

Mayor Maguire: To sum up – the Supreme Court has made it the rule of the land that every municipality will provide rent controlled affordable housing in each town.

Ms. DeLorenzo: O.k., so I get that, but then the Builder’s Remedy and all that stuff kind of came in and became part of it.

Mr. Goldsmith: That’s also a Supreme Court Doctrine and it is part of the remedy that the Court has crafted to create leverage to force municipalities to provide affordable housing and it is a great hammer.

Ms. DeLorenzo: That is exactly what it is - it is a hammer.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: It was intended to be.

Mr. Goldsmith: That’s right.

Mayor Maguire: Unfortunately, but thank you.

Ms. DeLorenzo: Thank you very much – so I guess that’s it - so there is nothing to do.

Mayor Maguire: It is the rule of the land.

Dennis Hynes -27 Wield Court – Where do we stand exactly with litigation in regards to the Hornrock proposal?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: We are in litigation. Where we stand is a difficult question to answer. No determinations have been made. No agreements have been reached. It is in litigation. If

you were at the meeting, you heard the court appointed Special Master speak and explain a lot of what is involved in that litigation. Where we stand is unresolved litigation.

Mr. Hynes: Do you represent any other municipalities within Bergen County?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: At this time, no. I am Zoning Board Attorney in other towns. I've been Borough Attorney in other towns.

Mr. Hynes: Has there ever been other cases brought with any municipalities while you were serving in a similar situation to this?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: I'm not sure what you mean. This situation is very unique. I have been involved in affordable housing issues in Paramus extensively because we built several projects when I was the Borough Attorney there, but I can tell you that historically the determination as to whether a town met its affordable housing litigation was determined through the Council on Affordable Housing, known as COAH. Park Ridge for twenty-five years has participated in COAH, has submitted plans for the first round, second round, third round obligations – has tried to come up with proposals that would meet with COAH standards for affordable housing litigation. The New Jersey Supreme Court in a relatively recent decision held that COAH wasn't doing what it was supposed to be doing. It abolished COAH. It took all affordable housing litigation out of the hands of COAH and required municipalities, if they wanted to secure immunity from Builder's Remedy lawsuits, to file Declaratory Judgments in the Superior Court. There are dozens of cases. The majority of municipalities in Bergen County, I believe, have filed such Declaratory Judgment litigation and they are all involved in that litigation right now. That's the litigation that the Mayor has been referring to when he says we are involved in affordable housing litigation. The goal of that is to try and convince a court that we can come up with a plan that will satisfy the court's opinion as to whether Park Ridge has met its affordable housing constitutional obligation.

Mr. Hynes: And that has yet to be determined?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Yes.

Mr. Hynes: So we are ready to turn over our property with 700 units for 10% of affordable housing which we don't even know what that number is?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: I can't answer questions but I can tell you that is not any of the proposals.

Mr. Hynes: Has a search for other affordable housing locations been exhausted?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: One of the problems that the town faces is that you have a party in this litigation who is ready, willing, and able to construct affordable housing, as opposed to hypothetically saying, perhaps this parcel at some time in the future could be used to develop affordable housing. It will be treated differently by a court from an overlay zone or some hypothetical proposal to put affordable housing someplace else in town if such a site existed.

Mayor Maguire: Which we've done. As part of our affordable housing planning and our previous submissions, because this has been going on for quite some time, and they call it Round 1, Round 2, Round 3. We are in Round 3 of affordable housing. Each one is approximately a decade long, but we have gone through the process and looked around the town and said, "Let's put an affordable housing overlay over this neighborhood" and that is Hawthorne Avenue and there have been other areas that we've done that and that is where we've built out the affordable housing. Anything that was built then included a percentage of affordable housing and that is how we've done it to meet our obligation.

Mr. Hynes: So we have two proposed projects – why don't we as a community make a decision to agree to maybe a four story, not a fifth, and those twenty units that were supposedly going to go to the Hornrock Group would be approved for the downtown redevelopment. I think that makes a lot more sense - congestion wise, tax wise, school wise, community wise - and back to my first question – where does the Council stand on the Hornrock proposal – for it or against it?

Mayor Maguire: We are in litigation. I really can't give you a direct answer to that unfortunately.

Mr. Hynes: Yes, you can.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: No.

Mayor Maguire: No, you can't.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Because there is no proposal. We are in negotiations. We are in litigation. There is no number that exists. If you ask are you for it or are you against it – are you against forty apartments on the property? Are you against four hundred units on the property?

Mr. Hynes: I'm against the whole rezoning of that area.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: It is not rezoning.

Mr. Hynes: It makes more sense for everybody to turn their homes into three or four bedroom apartments. Why we are going to go with somebody from outside a community? I have no idea why this is even being discussed or even on the table for this long. It is a simple, no.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: It is important that the Council hear your position but I am telling you why they can't answer the question and that party is the principal party in litigation with the municipality right now.

Mayor Maguire: As frustrating as that may sound, and rest assured this Council is doing everything it can to protect Park Ridge, and part of that work that we are doing to protect Park Ridge is not to comment at this meeting because it is a public record and everyone gets a copy of it.

Mr. Hynes: So no public comment from anybody?

Mayor Maguire: Not in terms of the litigation, no.

Mr. Hynes: Thank you very much.

Mayor Maguire: Thank you. Folks, I know that may sound frustrating to you but realize we are doing it to protect the town in terms of not commenting on the litigation and if there is any decision you will hear about it in the public.

Karen Brodsky – 21 Mountain Avenue – I actually emailed a number of you today – pretty long, lengthy email and first of all thank you for what you guys are going because I know that you are trying to do what is best for the town and you are also getting a lot of feedback from all of us and I think it is great that people are speaking up. I guess the part that is kind of stuck in my head that I don't get is that I kind of feel like we are being bullied. Hornrock is coming in and saying, "I bought this as a commercial zone – I want to have it rezoned." I kind of feel like if my fourth grader came to me and said, "Hey, this person is telling me I have to do this – I'm going to take you to court" – but it is not right. I wouldn't tell him, "O.k. just bend over and take it." I'd say, "I'm going to fight it out as much as you possibly can" until the court actually says, "You have to do this." I think that the people in the town are actually saying as a whole, "We are willing to fight – we don't want to have all this additional apartments, people – our schools are at its max." I was the first one, you can ask Dr. Gamper, I drove him and Mrs. McCaffrey bonkers this year because I was worried about the size of the kindergarten class that was coming in and I didn't want to have twenty-four kids in our kindergarten class. Dr. Gamper was very honest with us that they are at capacity and to expect that when you get to the upper elementary school grades, you are going to have twenty-four, twenty-five, twenty-six kids in a class right now. So, I implore you to just really just think about the fact that we need to fight the bully and not let them win. They went in eyes wide open with the idea that they could take that hammer and put it on our heads and we need to fight back.

Mayor Maguire: Thank you. Certainly, the schools are a big concern of everyone sitting up here and I will tell you that while it may be a fair characterization that the developers are bullies, there is no one up here that is afraid of a bully. I'll tell you that. There is a bunch of fighters sitting up here and we are doing everything we can to protect Park Ridge so rest assured of that.

Ms. Brodsky: O.k., thank you.

Mayor Maguire: Thank you.

Stacy DellaVolpe – 11 Sturms Place – I have a couple of questions and I apologize. You referred to as Special Counsel – can I ask what does that actually mean?

Mayor Maguire: Sure, I'm glad you asked. He is our hired gun. He comes from a well-known law firm, Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis. They have a rather large law firm and they specialize in a lot of municipal and land use litigation and specifically redevelopment.

Ms. DellaVolpe: So is the purpose to help, I guess, defend or protect us in this litigation or is it more to help mediate the litigation?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Can I comment?

Mayor Maguire: Just so you know, John Ten Hoeve is the Borough Attorney. I don't know if it says it on his plaque up there or not.

Ms. DellaVolpe: I knew who he was.

Mayor Maguire: But he is going to comment now.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: The Council has employed Counsel in order to make sure that its decisions with regard to the redevelopment district property are being done in a manner that will best serve the Borough as opposed to simply having a developer come in and attempt to compel the Borough or induce the Borough to accept a proposal that may not be in the Borough's best interest – from a financial perspective, from a development perspective, and Mr. Goldsmith has significant expertise in developing redevelopment districts throughout the State.

Ms. DellaVolpe: So it mostly related to the redevelopment of the downtown.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Mostly, but he has some expertise in affordable housing as well so has been assisting with that as well.

Ms. DellaVolpe: O.k., and I know that you guys have been mentioning the litigation – that we are in litigation. What exactly are we litigating? Are we litigating like a negotiation or are we litigating to combat whatever Hornrock is trying to present? What exactly is the litigation?

Mayor Maguire: I'll try and you can jump in. There was a lawsuit filed across the State by the Fair Share Housing Group. This is a housing advocacy group.

Ms. DellaVolpe: I understand the overall with the COAH and the affordable housing.

Mayor Maguire: Exactly, so what happens then is Hornrock joins that lawsuit against the Borough.

Ms. DellaVolpe: So it is an overarching litigation that they jumped into and now kind of pulled us into as well.

Mayor Maguire: Correct.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: They didn't pull us into it. We filed a litigation in order to try to get immunity by coming up with an affordable housing plan that passes constitutional muster. They joined that, as did another party, as did the Fair Housing Council.

Ms. DellaVolpe: To me – in my law expertise is this – but to me litigation means not fighting but we are trying to combat something. Here it kind of sounds like more of a negotiation – like we, together with Hornrock, are trying to come up with an affordable housing plan to suit the needs of our requirements.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Very generally stated, yes. Even before Hornrock intervened, that was our objective.

Ms. DellaVolpe: So basically we are working with, for a lack of better words, the enemy here to try to negotiate the housing. So regardless, this is all going towards housing.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: If you use that logic you would call the Fair Housing Council the enemy as well.

Ms. DellaVolpe: They are – they’re bullies – they are. Honestly, they care nothing about the communities and that is a well-known fact, but back to the point of the constitutional and the Supreme Court and there is nobody to fight it – does every state in the United States have to adhere to affordable housing rules because it is a constitutional right?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Many do – some are more strict – some are less strict.

Ms. DellaVolpe: O.k., so basically we are negotiating with Hornrock. There is going to be some type of housing put up there regardless of what is decided. We are not actually fighting to not develop on Hornrock.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: I cannot tell you that there will be some sort of housing put up there. It is all part of the litigation and the negotiation. I don’t know what the outcome is going to be at this time.

Ms. DellaVolpe: O.k., but we are not fighting against Hornrock?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Well, sure, if we were not fighting against Hornrock in some matter, then their initial proposal or if they came in and asked for 5,000 units – we would say, “Fine – go ahead build 5,000 units” and we don’t object. We are opposing...

Ms. DellaVolpe: You were objecting to the 5,000 units but we are trying to find a happy medium with them. That was my question – just trying to understand. I know everything is behind the guise where we can’t discuss it because of litigation, and I get that, but the question and my biggest concern is it sounds like we are negotiating with them and we are trying to find some way to agree on what those units numbers will be – so, it is just going to happen regardless of what number of units it is.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: I can’t answer that question.

Ms. DellaVolpe: You can’t answer that question.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: I can’t tell you at this point whether it is going to be resolved, whether it is going to be mediated, whether it is going to be litigated. No final determination has yet been made.

Ms. DellaVolpe: O.k., but you cannot tell me that we are fighting to stop it completely?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: I can’t say that is the case either because I don’t know what the outcome of this is going to be. I can’t tell you that the Borough is agreeing or not agreeing to any specific number or any development up there. I can tell you it is in litigation. Things are being negotiated but there isn’t any decision.

Ms. DellaVolpe: O.k., but we are in negotiations. At the last meeting we said we are in negotiations with Hornrock to try to find a plan – a housing plan for that property...

Councilmember Misciagna: I just want to make it clear. We are not in lock step with the developer on that particular item but if you don't discuss what possibly could be put there, somebody else will make the decision. So it is a balancing act that we are all trying to balance.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: And there are also potential impacts on other properties within the Borough.

Ms. DellaVolpe: Well, there are potential impacts with other properties in that area too – with the Marriott and all those other...

Mr. Ten Hoeve: What I am trying to say is if you resolve the litigation in one way or another...

Ms. DellaVolpe: By putting up affordable housing...

Mr. Ten Hoeve: And get your immunity, then none of those properties can be developed with any affordable housing projects. Well, it's a ten year minimum - that's the longest you can get immunity for, but it doesn't mean that something is going to happen automatically in that eleventh year especially if you've satisfied your constitutional obligation. There would have to be a determination ten years later that in some way you hadn't.

Ms. DellaVolpe: Can this litigation end with no properties being built on that?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: I can't answer that question.

Councilmember Misciagna: Sure it's possible.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Anything is possible.

Ms. DellaVolpe: Exactly, so it sounds to me like regardless of what happens that something is going up there. It is just a matter of how many units are being put up there and to what extent.

Councilmember Misciagna: Not necessarily – we don't know what is going to be built there. If we had our way, Sony would move back in there and everything would be back the way it was twenty years ago. So, that is a possibility too – but it is also unlikely.

Ms. DellaVolpe: They're not even trying to rent it.

Councilmember Misciagna: We've heard the residents. We understand where people are – there is a lot of agreement with a lot that has been said to us and we are trying to work out a deal that perhaps we could live with. It could come out that Hornrock doesn't want to deal with us – they don't like that we're coming back to and they are going to a judge and they are going to let the judge make the decision and that is the balancing act we are trying to be responsible for the community with.

Ms. DellaVolpe: So again, that to me means that there is some type of negotiation going on for those units and we can debate it because I know you can't say definitely 100 percent or give us the details but it sounds like there is negotiation for some type of units to be built there and we are trying to appease them so they don't take us to court and make us build 5,000 units. I got that. My next question then is – are we doing anything proactively as far as studies? I know that Special Master, or whatever his name was, came in last time and said, "One child for fifty units." Are we doing any studies on our own to determine what that real number could possibly be to assess what the impact to the community will be?

Mayor Maguire: We have.

Ms. DellaVolpe: Our own studies or just general?

Mayor Maguire: Yes, we did a study in terms of the school enrollment and we didn't want to follow the Rutgers study so we went and looked at Park Ridge apartments and it came out to be seven students. In all of the apartments that we have in the downtown Park Ridge area, there are seven students enrolled per one hundred.

Ms. DellaVolpe: O.k.

Anonymous: Legal apartments?

Mayor Maguire: These are multi-family apartments in the downtown. So yes, they are legal apartments.

Ms. DellaVolpe: I think I know more than seven.

Mayor Maguire: We further then asked the question in terms of the downtown for buildings with elevators – so right now in Park Ridge there are only the two buildings with elevators. The one on the corner of Park and Broadway and other one on the corner of Madison by the train tracks. There are no students in those two buildings.

Ms. DellaVolpe: I think I read that. There was some of that information in the Community Life, however, I believe from this past week on the DiBellas – and I get it – that is what they are going for but there is no way you can guarantee that is what you will get. Thank you.

Mayor Maguire: Thank you.

Anonymous: You commented that we are in litigation with three parties. Somebody representing COAH, Hornrock and a third party – who is the third party?

Mayor Maguire: It is the judge – the Court.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: There is also one other party that owns a small parcel that hasn't been actively litigating this. It is called Bear's Nest Properties – that has nothing to do with the Bear's Nest development. It is a small parcel.

Ms. Cooper: They are also involved in this litigation.

Mayor Maguire: Yes. Thank you.

Keri Cooper - 86 Fourth Street – I just wanted to get clarification about the school age children. What you are saying is the addresses that are used for school there are no children in those buildings. That is not the case. We all know that because we can all name children who are in those downtown apartments who are in our school district. Just because the addresses aren't matching up, there are tons of kids that are going to our schools from those areas.

Mayor Maguire: This is coming from the Board of Ed – is the source of that. Thank you.

Jake Flaherty – 127 Sibbald Drive – Good evening.

Mayor Maguire: Good evening, Mr. Flaherty.

Mr. Flaherty: Thanks for all your time and efforts on this. My understanding is that if we negotiate, we cannot come to negotiate with the developer, and Mr. Misciagna touched on it, that a judge may assign a number and it could be an obscene amount of units that would have to go up there. Do we have some examples of towns that have had that happen to them where they had a...

Mayor Maguire: Builder's Remedy lawsuit – Dumont.

Mr. Flaherty: So they couldn't come to an agreement so then the judge put an unreasonable number on them?

Mayor Maguire: That was negotiated. I think ultimately they ended up negotiating it but Dumont, if you've read in the paper recently, that is what happened there, right?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: The difference here is this is a unique situation. There haven't been Builder's Remedy lawsuits that have taken place because most municipalities joined COAH and

gained immunity over the past twenty-five years so you didn't have Builder's Remedy litigation. Before COAH, COAH was a response to the Mount Laurel Decision that the Supreme Court rendered decades ago where people were bringing Builder's Remedy litigation and there were a lot of them all over the place. Towns now are doing all different things. Some towns are building large affordable housing projects themselves on municipally owned property so they can satisfy the obligation in one building that will house solely affordable housing units and they deal with it in that fashion. It is costly. The town has to build it itself and you have to own property to build it on but there are all different ways that towns are dealing with this now. The bottom line and every professional who is involved will tell you that the focus of the court's decision is to make towns do what they haven't done during the past several decades so that there is no question that towns have to now do what they hadn't done in the past. That's the bottom line.

Mr. Flaherty: And I think that is the right thing to do but I am just trying to get a feel for how valid a threat that is that a judge could put whatever number – some obscene number as we said – and have we seen that happen and I'm only asking because I know it is a tough spot that the Mayor and Council are trying to negotiate with the developer and I'm just wondering if that is really a bullet that they have in their gun?

Mr. Goldsmith: It's a bullet. I'm representing a developer in a municipality where there were ten years of litigation. When the Supreme Court decided this most recent case in March 2015, the municipality rather than take the risk said, "Let's make an agreement." The Basking Ridge case was one of the first mandated Builder's Remedy cases and it took a mountain and built 5,000 homes on it and probably 20% affordable so there is genuine real exposure.

Mr. Flaherty: So that town they did not come to an agreement – so the judge said, "Build 5,000?"

Mr. Goldsmith: That's right. You see it on 287 in Basking Ridge – you see (*inaudible*) which is a little farm village.

Mr. Flaherty: Again, I appreciate the difficult spot you're in, I just hope that we – and I can count on you guys to negotiate as hard as you can. I just didn't know if that was a valid threat. Thank you for your time.

Mayor Maguire: Thank you, Mr. Flaherty.

Cheryl Golembiewski – 90 Spring Valley Road – I have a question in regards to the percentages. You said that the Supreme Court had allowed a certain amount of percentage for retired and a certain amount for this – is there any flexibility with those numbers? So we are not fighting the whole affordable housing - but what about the actual percentages? I know some we were able to give away to other townships.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Not any more. That is out the window. The Supreme Court has invalidated that. You can't sell your obligation to another town. In addition, Park Ridge – I guess you could say it fortunately or unfortunately, has done a lot in terms of developing affordable housing in special needs situations. We have one of the few handicapped housing projects at the end of Sulak Lane which does provide and does meet certain of the affordable housing needs. The problem is there are percentage limitations.

Ms. Golembiewski: But are those flexible? Are those workable? Can we fight within those? If we have to do it, o.k., but...

Mr. Ten Hoeve: No, you can't satisfy the obligation by saying that we are going to build veterans' housing and affordable housing and senior housing because that frustrates the objective of what the Supreme Court is trying to do.

Ms. Golembiewski: Well, next question.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: They want to bring in low income housing – low, moderate, and medium housing.

Ms. Golembiewski: And there is no category that we could sort of increase to accommodate both?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Our Planner is very well aware of this and has worked for years with COAH and we have done that in connection with our prior submissions and we have exhausted virtually all of our special category affordable housing.

Ms. Golembiewski: Next question- if per chance while we are still fighting, and I know we are in litigation, the Sony building does go residential – is there a way – I know the number is not set - that it could be partially commercial and residential so it wouldn't all be residential? Like could we satisfy a certain number and then somehow make it still rentable for commercial reasons so it wouldn't be like pushing at the traffic situation and the schools – it would sort of cut down – just like minimize the residential?

Mayor Maguire: It certainly has been suggested, yes.

Ms. Golembiewski: O.k., so that is something that we are all talking about. It's a consideration. Is it going in a positive direction?

Mayor Maguire: I know this is frustrating you, but I can't comment because it is litigation.

Ms. Golembiewski: O.k. – what kind of timeframe are we looking at? Like where are we looking at in terms of maybe coming to some sort of decision – going to be in the paper -we're all going to read about it?

Mayor Maguire: We really can't give you that. We need to keep talking to them so we are talking to them but that is all I can say at this point.

Ms. Golembiewski: O.k., thank you.

Mayor Maguire: Thank you.

David Grant – 3 Mountain Avenue - Good evening. I'm hearing all of the negotiations that are happening and the potential for a positive result of the Sony property not flipping to residential and I understand that there are full negotiations happening that there could potentially be some residential build out there and we don't know what those numbers are. So from a negotiations standpoint, I am hoping that there are certain points that we can win in this negotiation that will benefit our town in the long run so it is not a win or lose scenario. With all these people coming into town there is going to be significant impact, as we discussed, on the schools and the resources and the traffic and all of that. In the downtown project, we are talking about a Community Center and an outdoor basketball court and our lack of playing fields and traffic relief and schools – building of schools and open property – are these going to be all on a negotiation term sheet that we give a little here, but we get a little here – because those are all important topics? If it comes to a residential decision, it's going to be negatively impacting in all of those areas so I'm hoping that the Council and Mayor are putting those out on the table because those are very important negotiation points where – hey, yes we can do that – I think that they are fair and equitable towards the town as well from a decision making standpoint on the judge side.

Mayor Maguire: Short answer is absolutely. They would be part of any settlement so certainly one of the things that is on the table is we would get the immunity from Builder's Remedies – and the other thing that I'll mention - we have a tool, the Redevelopment Zone. If we wanted to utilize that as a tool to control the development, if you will, like we are doing in the downtown.

Mr. Grant: I'm thinking of what does our town need? We need a Community Center – going downstairs, underneath next to the Library, like there are two rooms and they are ninety degrees in there, twelve months a year. There is literally no basketball courts that are full court hoops that you can have a full court game outside. Besides some of the VFW or some of the halls like that, there is no real community meeting rooms and playing fields. I know there is a lack of playing fields. I have a seven year old son. We've been playing on a dirt infield and those need help, and soccer fields, whatever the case is. Not to mention schools – whatever the

number is, it is going to wholeheartedly negatively impact the number of kids that are in our schools because we can't take on any more. I don't know if that is going to be something that they are going to build in that area or it is going to provide funding and support to build it within our town, but I'm hoping that those are very high on the agenda items on the gives and takes for these negotiations should it come to that.

Mayor Maguire: Absolutely – schools and recreation facilities are something that can be layered on to any agreement that is reached.

Mr. Grant: O.k., thank you.

Pat Hunt – 2 Mader Place - Good evening. I have a couple of questions. Once this affordable housing is declared how long does the property owner have to keep that – is it ten years, twenty years?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Thirty.

Mr. Hunt: It is thirty years.

Mayor Maguire: The property would be deed restricted as affordable housing with rents that are dictated by the State.

Mr. Hunt: For thirty years.

Mayor Maguire: For thirty years.

Mr. Hunt: Next question I have is – has anyone actually determined what the demand for affordable housing is? We've got somebody in Trenton or in Rutgers determining and saying that we don't have enough affordable housing in the State. How does he know that? What is the demand?

Mayor Maguire: Sure, and that is the ongoing debate over the numbers. The Fair Share Housing Group puts together their numbers and we hired a consultant to put together what we thought was the number, and prior to that, the State put together their numbers. We've done a lottery for the ones that we do have and there has been a demand for them. There is a waiting list of people and we do a lottery for the ones that we do have.

Mr. Hunt: When do you anticipate that Hornrock will actually file a plan with the Planning Board?

Mr. Ten Hoeve: They can't do that.

Mr. Hunt: Until the litigation is completed.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: Yes. I guess theoretically they could file a request for a variance with the Zoning Board of Adjustment, but not the Planning Board, but they haven't done that and they believe that their better alternative is to litigate it in the Superior Court.

Mr. Hunt: It's down the road.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: If any agreement was ever reached with the municipality so that they could develop the property in some manner, they would still then have to make an application to the Planning Board which would regulate all variety of site plan issues.

Mr. Hunt: If they are successful with the litigation, they get this Builder's Remedy or some form of it, we are still in trouble. The schools are number one and I think we probably attracted a great many people to move into town because of our school system. It is pretty highly rated and they do a pretty good job over there so we are our own worst enemy. Now the schools are full.

Mayor Maguire: Couldn't agree with you more. We have an excellent school system and certainly what attracted many of us to this town.

Mr. Hunt: So the question is – what happens with the schools, the utilities? Does that figure into their plan or our plan or how do we stand with that? Does that give us any leverage, I guess, is the question I’m asking?

Mayor Maguire: In terms of the negotiations, there could possibly be something in there negotiated for the schools but that is just a hypothetical. In terms of the utilities, yes, there would be a utilities impact and build out required.

Mr. Hunt: O.k., that’s all I have. Thank you.

Mayor Maguire: Thank you, Mr. Hunt.

ORDINANCES – INTRODUCTION

None

ORDINANCES – PUBLIC HEARING

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
ORDINANCE NO. 2016-018**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 23, ARTICLE II
OF THE CODE OF THE BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
ENTITLED “CROSSING GUARDS”**

Mayor Maguire asks for a motion to open the Public Hearing on Ordinance No. 2016-018, An Ordinance Amending Chapter 23, Article II of the Code of the Borough of Park Ridge Entitled “Crossing Guards”.

A motion was made by Council President Bosi and seconded by Councilmember Szot to confirm.

AYES: Councilmembers Szot, Misciagna, Capilli, Council President Bosi

ABSENT: Councilmembers Bertini, Oppelt

Mayor Maguire asks the Clerk to read the Ordinance by title.

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
ORDINANCE NO. 2016-018**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 23, ARTICLE II
OF THE CODE OF THE BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
ENTITLED “CROSSING GUARDS”**

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge have determined to provide Crossing Guards within the Borough with an additional holiday, specifically Labor Day, at such times as the school year begins prior to the date designated for Labor Day,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge, in the County of Bergen and State of New Jersey as follows:

SECTION ONE: “ Article II of Chapter 23, Section 23.8 of the Code of the Borough of Park Ridge is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

§ 23-8 Holidays.

Crossing Guards shall be paid on the following holidays if schools are closed and if scheduled to work the week of the holiday. Paid holidays shall include Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, President's Day, Good Friday, Memorial Day, Veteran's Day, Thanksgiving Day, the Friday after Thanksgiving, Christmas Day, July 4th provided

summer camp is in session and the Crossing Guard is scheduled to work during summer camp, and Labor Day provided that the public school year commences for student attendance prior to Labor Day."

SECTION TWO. All ordinances or parts of ordinances inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION THREE: Severability. The provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable. Should any section, paragraph, subparagraph, provision, sentence, or part hereof be declared invalid or unconstitutional, said finding shall not affect any other section, paragraph, subparagraph, provision, sentence, or part thereof and the remainder of this ordinance shall be deemed valid and effective.

SECTION FOUR: Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately following final passage, adoption and publication as provided by law."

Mayor Maguire asks the Borough Attorney to give a brief description of this Ordinance.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: It just gives crossing guards one additional holiday in certain instances when school starts before Labor Day.

Mayor Maguire asks if anyone wishes to be heard concerning the introduction of this ordinance.

Carinne Murphy – 226 Doxey Drive – If this ordinance passes we won't have crossing guards at the school because we are going back before Labor Day.

Mr. Ten Hoeve: No, it just gives them an additional paid holiday. It doesn't mean they don't work.

Ms. Murphy: O.k, thank you.

Mayor Maguire asks for a motion to close the Public Hearing on this ordinance and that it be adopted with notice of final passage to be published in The Ridgewood News.

A motion was made by Council President Bosi and seconded by Councilmember Szot to confirm.

AYES: Councilmembers Szot, Misciagna, Capilli, Council President Bosi

ABSENT: Councilmembers Bertini, Oppelt

CONSENT AGENDA:

Mayor Maguire asks if any Councilmember would like to have any resolution removed from the consent agenda and placed under New Business.

There was no one.

Mayor Maguire asks if any Councilmember would like to abstain from voting on any resolution on the Consent Agenda.

There was no one.

Mayor Maguire asks for a motion to accept the Consent Agenda.

A motion was made by Council President Bosi and seconded by Councilmember Misciagna to confirm.

AYES: Councilmembers Szot, Misciagna, Capilli, Council President Bosi

ABSENT: Councilmembers Bertini, Oppelt

RESOLUTIONS;

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016-229**

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE
OF SNOW PLOW FOR FRONT END LOADER
WITH APPROVED STATE CONTRACT VENDOR
Bristol –Donald Co/Greelco Inc.
PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 40A:11-12a**

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:11-12a and N.J.A.C. 5:34-7.29(c), may by resolution and without advertising for bids, purchase any goods or services under the State of New Jersey Cooperative Purchasing Programs for any State contracts entered into on behalf of the State by the Division of Purchase and Property in the Department of the Treasury; and

WHEREAS, the Supervisor of Public Works has requested permission for the purchase of a Snow Plow for the Front End Loader pursuant to State Contract #A88265 from Bristol-Donald Co./Greelco Inc. of 50 Roanoke Avenue, Newark, NJ 07105 as outlined in the attached quote dated July 28, 2016 for a total of \$ 9,826.20; and

WHEREAS, the Borough of Park Ridge wishes to purchase said snow plow from Bristol-Donald Co./Greelco Inc. of 50 Roanoke Avenue, Newark, NJ 07105 through this resolution and properly issued Purchase Order, which shall be subject to all the conditions applicable to the current State Contract as follows; and

WHEREAS, the Chief Financial Officer has certified that funds are available in Account No. C-04-55-951-300-002.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge authorizes the purchase of the above stated goods and services from Bristol-Donald Co./Greelco Inc. pursuant to the above in the total amount of \$9,826.20.

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016-230**

**APPROVAL OF REQUEST
SOCIAL AFFAIR PERMIT
YCS Foundation, Inc.**

BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge that it hereby approves the following request:

Social Affair Permit – Food/Wine/Beer/Spirit Tasting
YCS Foundation, Inc.
November 14th
Park Ridge Marriott

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016-231**

**APPROVAL OF BANNER REQUEST
PARK RIDGE FOOTBALL BOOSTERS**

BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge that it hereby approves the following Park Ridge Football Boosters request, subject to the availability of space and other conditions which may occur that would not permit banners to be hung at this location:

Banners:
Park Ridge Football Booster
Kickoff for Friday Night Football Game
Park Avenue – Borough Hall
September 19th – October 3rd

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016-232**

**ACCEPT BIDS
AUCTION OF SURPLUS PROPERTY AND ONLINE AUCTION OF SURPLUS PROPERTY**

WHEREAS, the Borough of Park Ridge is the owner of certain surplus property which is no longer needed for public use; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 40A:11-36 the Borough of Park Ridge may sell any personal property which is no longer needed for public use; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge previously approved the sale of the said surplus property in an "as is" condition without express or implied warranties as attached hereto via the Internet sale of the surplus property conducted through GovDeals pursuant to State Contract A-70967/T2581 in accordance with the terms and conditions of the State Contract with the successfully bidder paying a 12.5% Buyers Premium to GovDeals; and

WHEREAS, said auction concluded on August 4, 2016 with the results as outlined in the attached Schedule A with the mandatory minimum bids noted and the highest bids noted; and

WHEREAS, the Borough Administrator recommends acceptance of said bids as noted on the attached Schedule A; and

WHEREAS, the Borough of Park Ridge will not release any vehicles/equipment to the successful bidders until the Borough of Park Ridge has received verification from GovDeals that payment has been received from the winning bidder, the Borough will not deliver the vehicles/equipment to the successful bidder and the vehicles/equipment are to be transferred and taken off the site within five business days after the sale has occurred and payment received; and

WHEREAS, items not picked up within the above prescribed time period will be offered to the second highest bidder.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Borough Council of the Borough of Park Ridge that it hereby accepts the bids as outlined in the attached Schedule A.

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016-233**

AUTHORIZE 2016 STIPEND PAYMENT

WHEREAS, the collective bargaining agreement between the Borough of Park Ridge and the NJ State PBA, Local 206, Park Ridge Unit provides for an annual stipend to those officers in the Investigative Services Bureau;

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council adopted Resolution 16-146 on May 10, 2016 to approve the payment of various stipends; and

WHEREAS, due to a typographical error, P.O. Christopher Puglis who is assigned to the Investigative Services Bureau did not appear on the stipend list;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge that the Borough Treasurer is hereby authorized and directed to pay Christopher Puglis the annual \$500 stipend for his assignment to the Investigative Services Bureau.

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016-234**

CANCELLATION OF OLD OUTSTANDING CHECKS AND RECONCILING ITEMS

WHEREAS, the Borough of Park Ridge issued checks for the payment of goods or services and certain checks have not been presented for payment by the parties to whom issued; and

WHEREAS, these checks issued by the Borough bank accounts are still outstanding and are now stale dated; and

WHEREAS, these checks have been investigated and have been determined to have been lost or otherwise destroyed; and

WHEREAS, it is prudent financial management after reconciliation to cancel these outstanding stale dated checks into the appropriate accounts in the fund of origin; and

WHEREAS, it is the recommendation of the Chief Financial Officer to cancel the following outstanding checks totaling \$2,454.52 that have been outstanding for a period in excess of six months;

FUND ACCT	CHECK NO	ISSUE DATE	PAYEE	AMOUNT
Current	140508	05/13/14	Dina Shapiro	\$ 203.30
Current	140512	05/20/14	Park Ridge Chamber of Commerce	\$ 30.00
Current	140857	08/12/14	Robert Cerabona	\$ 44.83
Current	140954	09/09/14	Police & Firemen Retirement System	\$ 756.43
Current	140957	09/09/14	Police & Firemen Retirement System	\$ 643.28
Current	141173	11/07/14	Postmaster – Park Ridge	\$ 192.00
Current	141400	12/09/14	John Lovato	\$ 500.00
Current	141403	12/09/14	Gwen Pardi	\$ 34.68
Current	141479	12/31/14	Treasurer, State of New Jersey	\$ 50.00

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge that the Borough Treasurer is hereby authorized and directed to cancel checks for Accounts Payable in the amount of \$2,454.52 and the funds represented thereby be credited to the appropriate fund balances.

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016-235**

AUTHORIZATION TO REMIT CONTRIBUTIONS

WHEREAS, the Borough of Park Ridge adopted the FY16 budget on May 10, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the FY16 budget included contributions to certain organizations who provide services that benefit the residents of Park Ridge; and

WHEREAS, the Chief Financial Officer certifies that funds are available in the following accounts to remit contributions to the following organizations;

Account No.	Organization	Budgeted Amount
6-01-25-260-000-063	Tri-Boro Ambulance	\$20,000.00
6-01-27-360-000-001	Mental Health Center	\$ 8,000.00
6-01-27-360-000-002	Pascack Historical Society	\$ 500.00
6-01-27-360-000-004	Pascack Valley Meals on Wheels	\$ 500.00
6-01-27-361-000-063	Park Ridge Golden Age Club	\$ 7,500.00

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge that the Borough Treasurer is hereby authorized to remit the contributions for the full budgeted amounts to the organizations listed.

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016-237**

ADOPTION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR ANNUAL AUDIT

WHEREAS, the Annual Report of Audit for the year 2015 was filed with the Municipal Clerk pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:5 on July 15, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Body have personally reviewed, as a minimum, the Annual Report of Audit, and specifically the sections of the Annual Audit entitled “Findings and Recommendations,” have signed the group affidavit form, and adopted the resolution of certification; and

WHEREAS, the CFO has filed the attached Corrective Action Plan pursuant to N.J.S.A 40A: 5; and

WHEREAS, N.J.S.A. 40A:5 requires the Governing Body to adopt by resolution the Corrective Action Plan within 60 days of receipt of audit;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge that the Corrective Action Plan is hereby approved.

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016-238**

**Chapter 159
Amending the 2016 Borough Budget for Additional Revenue and Appropriation**

WHEREAS, N.J.S.A 40A:4-87 provides that the Director of Local Government Services may approve the insertion of any Special Item of Revenue in the budget of any County or Municipality when such item shall have been made available by law and the amount thereof was not determined at the time of the adoption of the budget, and;

WHEREAS, said Director may also approve the insertion of any item of appropriation for an equal amount, and;

WHEREAS, the Borough of Park Ridge has received \$400.00 from the Bergen Save the Watershed Action Network (Bergen SWAN) for the purpose of plantings and pollinator-friendly garden for Electric Lake and wishes to amend the 2016 Municipal Budget to include this amount as revenue.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge hereby requests the Director of Division of Local Government Services to approve the insertion of an Item of Revenue in the 2016 Budget in the sum of \$400.00 which is now available as a revenue from:

Miscellaneous Revenues:
Special Items of General Revenue Anticipated
With Prior Written Consent of the Director of the
Division of Local Government Services:
Bergen SWAN Off-set with Appropriations:
Mini Grant Planting - \$400.00

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the like sum of \$400.00 be and the same is hereby appropriated under the caption of:

General Appropriations:
Operations Excluded from CAPS
County Programs Offset by Revenues:
Park Ridge Green Team Mini Grant Planting - \$400.00

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Borough Clerk forward two certified copies of this resolution to the Director of Local Government Services for approval.

**BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
RESOLUTION NO. 016 – 239**

PAYMENT OF BILLS - BOROUGH

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Park Ridge that the following bills in the sum of \$2,599,079.95 have been approved and that the Mayor, Clerk and Chief Financial Officer are, hereby authorized and directed to issue warrants in payment of same.

FUND	PAYMENT OF BILLS	AMOUNT
August 9, 2016		
CURRENT FUND	SEE ATTACHED 6 PAGE DETAIL	\$2,554,404.22
CAPITAL FUND	SEE ATTACHED 1 PAGE DETAIL	\$15,768.68
SWIM UTILITY	SEE ATTACHED 2 PAGE DETAIL	\$12,224.92
ANIMAL CONTROL	NO ATTACHMENT	\$0.00
ESCROW	SEE ATTACHED 2 PAGE DETAIL	\$16,017.13
COAH	NO ATTACHMENT	\$0.00
MUNICIPAL OPEN SPACE	NO ATTACHMENT	\$0.00
AGENCY	NO ATTACHMENT	\$0.00
PAYROLL FUND	NO ATTACHMENT	\$0.00
GOLDEN AGE CLUB	SEE ATTACHED 1 PAGE DETAIL	\$665.00
UNEMPLOYMENT	NO ATTACHMENT	\$0.00
TOTAL		\$2,599,079.95

July 27, 2016
02:21 PM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Payment Batch Verification Listing

Page No: 1

Batch Id: JK Batch Type: C Batch Date: 07/27/16 Checking Account: 01CURRENT FUND G/L Credit: Budget G/L Credit
Generate Direct Deposit: N

Check No.	Check Date	Vendor # Name	Payment Amt	Street 1 of Address to be printed on check	Charge Account	Account Type	Status	Seq	Acct
PO #	Enc Date	Item Description		Description					
16-01174	07/27/16	1 PRPD CHALLENGE COINS	1,125.00	ITEMS, LLC	6-01-25-240-000-161	Budget	Aprv	1	1
			1,125.00	Community Policing					

Checks:	Count	Line Items	Amount
	1	1	1,125.00

There are NO errors or warnings in this listing.

August 5, 2016
11:37 AM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 1

Range of Checking Accts: 01CURRENT FUND to 01CURRENT FUND Range of Check Ids: 144131 to 144132
Report Type: All Checks Report Format: Condensed Check Type: Computer: Y Manual: Y Dir Deposit: Y

Check #	Check Date	Vendor	Amount Paid	Reconciled/Void	Ref Num
PO #	Description			Contract	
144131	08/09/16	EMPIR010 EMPIRE WATER			4839
16-01392	3RD QTR WATER COOLER RENTAL		74.85		
144132	08/09/16	MILLE012 MILLENNIUM STRATEGIES, LLC			4839
16-00758	GRANT WRITER SVS - (APR-DEC)		2,500.00		

Report Totals	Paid	Void	Amount Paid	Amount Void
Checks:	2	0	2,574.85	0.00
Direct Deposit:	0	0	0.00	0.00
Total:	2	0	2,574.85	0.00

August 5, 2016
11:23 AM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 1

Range of Checking Accts: 01CURRENT FUND to 01CURRENT FUND Range of Check Ids: 144085 to 144130
Report Type: All Checks Report Format: Condensed Check Type: Computer: Y Manual: Y Dir Deposit: Y

Check #	Check Date	Vendor	Amount Paid	Reconciled/Void	Ref Num
PO #	Description				Contract
144085	08/09/16	AETNA001 AETNA 16-00854 FSA MONTHLY FEES (JUN-DEC)	50.00		4837
144086	08/09/16	BAZAZ005 ALBERT BAZAZ 16-00663 SUMMER CONCERT AUGUST 25, 2016	500.00		4837
144087	08/09/16	BERGE360 BERGEN MUNICIPAL EMP BENEFIT F 16-01369 INSURANCE AUGUST	8,725.00		4837
144088	08/09/16	BOROU020 BOROUGH OF MONTVALE 16-01278 2ND QTR COURT COSTS 16-01324 2ND QTR SENIOR VAN CELL (1/2)	32,554.14 79.95 <u>32,634.09</u>		4837
144089	08/09/16	BOROU062 BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE-AGENCY 16-01370 SHBP JULY (PARTIAL) 16-01371 SHBP AUGUST	41,023.41 <u>118,273.14</u> 159,296.55		4837
144090	08/09/16	CABLE010 CABLEVISION 16-01152 STATIC IP & CABLE BOX (JUN-DEC)	96.35		4837
144091	08/09/16	CAROL020 CAROL TYLER 16-00733 ANIMAL CONTROL (APR-DEC)	995.00		4837
144092	08/09/16	CAROU010 CAROUSEL INDUSTRIES OF NORTH 16-00613 MAINTENANCE TBR (MAR-DEC)	550.69		4837
144093	08/09/16	CHATH010 CHATHAM IRRIGATION 16-01294 SERVICE/REPAIR @ VETERANS PARK	1,010.00		4837
144094	08/09/16	DEJON010 DE JONG IRON WORKS INC. 16-01062 STEEL PLATE & C CHANNELS	301.00		4837
144095	08/09/16	DURIE010 DURIE LAWMOWER & EQUIPMENT 16-01357 4" TUBE INV 8912	9.00		4837
144096	08/09/16	ENVIRO03 ENVIRO WASTE OIL RECOVERY, LLC 16-01300 ANTI-FREEZE/OIL DISPOSAL	253.13		4837
144097	08/09/16	FASTE010 FASTENAL COMPANY 16-01056 ROAD & VR SUPPLIES	172.38		4837
144098	08/09/16	GORDO015 GORDON ROEHRER 16-00634 CONCERT AUG 18, 2016	200.00		4837
144099	08/09/16	HMMAC010 H & H MACK SALES, INC. 16-01299 SWITCH	53.94		4837

August 5, 2016
11:23 AMBOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 2

Check # PO #	Check Date	Vendor Description	Amount Paid	Reconciled/Void	Ref Num Contract
144100	08/09/16	HIGHW010 HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SUPPLY			4837
		16-00668 PEDESTRIAN STANCHIONS	195.00		
		16-01295 VERTICASE W/BASE & STREET SIGN	289.01		
		16-01331 CUSTOM SIGNS & STREET POLES	<u>494.25</u>		
			978.26		
144101	08/09/16	HOMED010 HOME DEPOT, INC. (THE)			4837
		16-01270 10x10 ENVOY CANOPY	132.00		
144102	08/09/16	INTER125 INTERSTATE WASTE SVC, INC			4837
		16-00955 TRASH PICKUP JUN-DEC 2016	42,399.08		
		16-01188 TIPPING FEES - JUNE	4,277.80		
		16-01379 TIPPING FEES - JULY RES 16-143	<u>5,027.51</u>		
			51,704.39		
144103	08/09/16	JOHNE020 JOHN E. TEN HOEVE, JR., ESQ.			4837
		16-00745 RETAINER (APR-DEC)	2,363.83		
		16-01385 LEGAL SERVICES - JULY	<u>1,665.50</u>		
			4,029.33		
144104	08/09/16	JOHNM010 JOHN M. HARTEL CO., INC.			4837
		16-01322 VAC BREAKER REPAIR KIT	12.33		
		16-01323 PRESSUE RANK & PARTS/BOLTS	490.16		
		16-01346 MESH CLOTH & CLOSET SPUD	<u>14.02</u>		
			516.51		
144105	08/09/16	KELLE010 KELLEY R. O'DONNELL			4837
		16-00730 CELL PHONE REIMBURS (2-4 QTR)	225.00		
144106	08/09/16	KRELL010 KRELL LIGHTING			4837
		16-01297 BULBS FOR LIBRARY & FIREHOUSE	75.44		
144107	08/09/16	LAWCO010 W.B. LAW & SONS			4837
		16-01283 10 OZ HOT CUPS - BORO	48.56		
144108	08/09/16	LEGGE010 LEGGETTE, BRASHEARS & GRAHAM,			4837
		16-01372 FORMER UST CASE 0812.PRKLDP.00	1,102.50		
144109	08/09/16	MARCS010 MARC'S DELI			4837
		16-01318 LUNCH FOR ELECTION WORKERS	372.00		
144110	08/09/16	NEOP0010 US POSTAL SERV POSTAGE ON CALL			4837
		16-01375 POSTAGE - JULY	244.79		
144111	08/09/16	NETWO010 NETWORK BILLING SYSTEMS, LLC			4837
		16-00564 MONTHLY BILLS (APR-DEC)	625.55		
144112	08/09/16	PARKR090 PARK RIDGE BOARD OF EDUCATION			4837
		16-00794 2016 SCHOOL TAXES PAYABLE	2,262,772.00		
144113	08/09/16	PAULJ020 PAUL J. WITTE			4837
		16-01146 REIMBURSE HOTEL AC CONFERENCE	73.81		

August 5, 2016
11:23 AMBOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 3

Check #	Check Date	Vendor	Amount Paid	Reconciled/void	Ref Num
PO #		Description			Contract
144114	08/09/16	PUBLI090 PUBLICITS SOLUTIONS, LLC			4837
		16-00559 WEBMASTER SERVICES (MAR-DEC)	1,000.00		
144115	08/09/16	ROCKL015 ROCKLAND CHRYSLER JEEP DODGE			4837
		16-01313 REPAIR 2011 CHEROKEE - PD	392.00		
144116	08/09/16	RUGGE010 RUGGED OUTFITTERS, INC.			4837
		16-01311 REDWING WORK BOOTS - BILL D	167.99		
144117	08/09/16	RUTGE040 RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIV OF NJ			4837
		16-01224 CLERK REVIEW CLASS - FRANCESCA	622.00		
		16-01238 MUNICIPAL CURRENT FUND 1 - RON	754.00		
		16-01239 MUNI FINANCE ADMIN - MAGGIE	804.00		
		16-01290 CMFO EXAM REVIEW J MAZZARELLA	504.00		
			<u>2,684.00</u>		
144118	08/09/16	SCOTT010 SCOTT LAUGHTON			4837
		16-01389 FALL 2015 & SPRING 16 TUITION	6,885.00		
144119	08/09/16	SICOM010 WESLEY/SICOMAC DAIRY FOODS			4837
		16-01363 MILK DELIVERIES - ROAD JULY	26.12		
		16-01364 MILK DELIVERIES - BORO JULY	42.44		
			<u>68.56</u>		
144120	08/09/16	STATE020 STATE LINE FIRE & SAFETY, INC.			4837
		16-01345 2 CYCLE FUEL	76.50		
144121	08/09/16	SWIFT020 SWIFTREACH NETWORKS INC			4837
		16-01386 911 SUBSCRIPTION FEE (JUL-DEC)	405.00		
144122	08/09/16	TELVO005 TELVUE			4837
		16-01315 3RD QTR WEBUS SUPPORT	300.00		
144123	08/09/16	TIMBE010 TIMBER TREE			4837
		16-01319 TREE REMOVALS 7/25/16	4,550.00		
144124	08/09/16	TRAFF020 TRAFFIC SAFETY & EQUIPMENT CO.			4837
		16-01301 GLASS BEADS -STANDARD HIGHWAY	224.75		
144125	08/09/16	TROP1010 TROPICANA			4837
		16-01154 NJSLOM 2016 KELLEY O'DONNELL	194.00		
144126	08/09/16	VERIZ020 VERIZON			4837
		16-01354 MONTHLY BILLS 7/16 TBR	4,899.96		
		16-01383 MONTHLY BILL - JULY K. BOWEN	63.30		
			<u>4,963.26</u>		
144127	08/09/16	VERIZ030 VERIZON WIRELESS			4837
		16-01359 MONTHLY BILLS (JUL-DEC) PDRSVS	64.40		
144128	08/09/16	WILFR010 WILFRED MAC DONALD, INC.			4837
		16-01059 PLY TURF TIRE & ASSEMBLY	654.13		

August 5, 2016
11:23 AM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 4

Check # PO #	Check Date	Vendor Description	Amount Paid	Reconciled/Void	Ref Num Contract
144129 16-01360	08/09/16	ZZCOL010 BARBARA COLEMAN BIRDHOUSES & POST MOUNTS	127.51		4837
144130 16-00636	08/09/16	ZZGYS010 TIM GYSIN MUSICAL PERFORMMCE AUG 11,2016	200.00		4837
Report Totals					
	Checks:	<u>Paid</u> 46	<u>Void</u> 0	<u>Amount Paid</u> 2,550,704.37	<u>Amount Void</u> 0.00
	Direct Deposit:	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>
	Total:	<u>46</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2,550,704.37</u>	<u>0.00</u>

August 5, 2016
11:14 AM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 1

Range of Checking Accts: 04CAPITAL to 04CAPITAL Range of Check Ids: 140241 to 140242
Report Type: All checks Report Format: Condensed Check Type: Computer: Y Manual: Y Dir Deposit: Y

Check # PO #	Check Date	Vendor Description	Amount Paid	Reconciled/Void	Ref Num Contract
140241 16-01202	08/09/16	GTBM010 G.T.B.M. INC. E-TICKETING TURNKEY SYSTEM	14,126.00		4836
140242 16-01153	08/09/16	RECON005 RECONYX, INC LICENSE PLATE CAMERA & ACCESS	999.77		4836
Report Totals					
	Checks:	<u>Paid</u> 2	<u>Void</u> 0	<u>Amount Paid</u> 15,125.77	<u>Amount Void</u> 0.00
	Direct Deposit:	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>
	Total:	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>15,125.77</u>	<u>0.00</u>

July 29, 2016
03:18 PM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Payment Batch Verification Listing

Page No: 1

Batch Id: JK Batch Type: C Batch Date: 07/29/16 Checking Account: 09 SWIM UTILITY G/L Credit: Budget G/L Credit
Generate Direct Deposit: N

Check No. PO #	Check Date Enc Date	Vendor # Name Item Description	Payment Amt	Street 1 of Address to be printed on Check Charge Account Description	Account Type	Status	Seq	Acct
16-01340	07/29/16	16-01340 07/29/16 1 PAYROLL - JULY 29 2016	642.91	9 HENRY AVE 6-09-55-501-000-013 Seasonal	Budget	Aprv	1	1
			642.91					
Checks:	<u>Count</u>	<u>Line Items</u>	<u>Amount</u>					
	1	1	642.91					

There are NO errors or warnings in this listing.

August 5, 2016
11:11 AM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 1

Range of Checking Accts: 09 SWIM UTILITY to 09 SWIM UTILITY Range of Check Ids: 140259 to 140269
Report Type: All Checks Report Format: Condensed Check Type: Computer: Y Manual: Y Dir Deposit: Y

Check #	Check Date	Vendor	Amount Paid	Reconciled/Void	Ref Num
PO #		Description			Contract
140259	08/09/16	DURIE010 DURIE LAWNMOWER & EQUIPMENT			4835
		16-01293 TORO MODEL 20332 - POOL	359.00		
140260	08/09/16	EAMOR010 E. A. MORSE & COMPANY, INC.			4835
		16-01104 CLEANING SUPPLIES - POOL	494.91		
140261	08/09/16	LNGRA010 LN GRAND STORES			4835
		16-01266 WATERPROOF BABY PANTS	225.12		
		16-01306 VINYL PANTS - POOL	46.90		
			<u>272.02</u>		
140262	08/09/16	MONTV020 MONTVALE HARDWARE & SUPPLY			4835
		16-01089 MONTHLY INVOICES - MAY POOL	154.01		
140263	08/09/16	NAUTI010 NAUTILUS SWIMMING POOL SUPPLIE		08/09/16 VOID	0
140264	08/09/16	NAUTI010 NAUTILUS SWIMMING POOL SUPPLIE			4835
		16-01200 SERVICE CHEMICAL FEED PUMP	932.15		
		16-01201 CHEMICALS & SUPPLIES - POOL	361.25		
		16-01246 SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE	1,376.00		
		16-01307 SCOURING PASTE	589.80		
		16-01308 SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE	2,423.50		
		16-01329 SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE	2,201.50		
		16-01330 SANYGEN PASTE, TEST TUBES, ETC	315.90		
		16-01356 SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE	1,211.75		
		16-01358 SERVICE KIDDIE POOL FILTER	274.20		
			<u>9,686.05</u>		
140265	08/09/16	RAIN010 RAINFLOW SPRINKLER SYSTEMS, IN			4835
		16-01136 SPRINKLER STARTUP/REPAIRS	600.00		
140266	08/09/16	SPORT005 SPORTS EXPERT			4835
		16-01305 GAMES FOR SWIM CLUB	143.97		
140267	08/09/16	STAPL010 STAPLES			4835
		16-01286 POSTER BOARD & BUSINESS CARDS	78.33		
140268	08/09/16	VERIZ020 VERIZON			4835
		16-01355 MONTHLY BILLING 7/16 POOL	33.52		
140269	08/09/16	ZZBAC005 SHAUN BACH			4835
		16-01304 REIMBURSE LIFEGUARD TRAINING	403.11		

Report Totals	Paid	Void	Amount Paid	Amount Void
checks:	10	1	12,224.92	0.00
Direct Deposit:	0	0	0.00	0.00
Total:	10	1	12,224.92	0.00

August 5, 2016
11:05 AM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 1

Range of Checking Accts: 16ESCROW		to 16ESCROW		Range of Check Ids: 2707 to 2720	
Report Type: All Checks		Report Format: Condensed		Check Type: Computer: Y Manual: Y Dir Deposit: Y	
Check #	Check Date	Vendor	Amount Paid	Reconciled/Void	Ref Num
PO #	Description				Contract
2707	08/09/16	ANDRE015 ANDREW ROSEN			4834
16-01376	TENNIS CAMP INSTRUCTOR		150.00		
2708	08/09/16	BRIGE010 BRIGETTE BOGART, LLC			4834
16-01391	160 MORNINGSIDE BB 1702		112.50		
2709	08/09/16	BROOK010 BROOKER ENGINEERING, P.L.L.C.			4834
16-01343	SFDR B2204 L12-15 BR 119343		90.00		
16-01344	sfdr B1102 L9 BR 119354		180.00		
			<u>270.00</u>		
2710	08/09/16	FALKE010 LIZ FALKENSTERN			4834
16-01349	REIMBURSE DAY CAMP STAFF DINNE		86.07		
2711	08/09/16	JASON015 JASON ROSEN			4834
16-01377	TENNIS CAMP INSTRUCTOR		150.00		
2712	08/09/16	MARCS010 MARC'S DELI			4834
16-01043	LUNCH FOR SHERIFF WORKERS		115.75		
2713	08/09/16	MONST030 MONSTER MINI GOLF			4834
16-00389	JULY 26TH - DAY CAMP TRIP		703.36		
2714	08/09/16	MONTV060 MONTVALE LANES			4834
16-01351	CAMP BOWLING 7/27/16		1,356.00		
2715	08/09/16	PARKP010 PARK PIZZA			4834
16-01350	PIZZA FOR DAY CAMP 7/28/16		456.15		
2716	08/09/16	PINOT010 PINOT'S PALETTE			4834
16-00425	DAY CAMP - JULY 25, 2016		1,242.00		
2717	08/09/16	RACH0010 RACHLES/MICHELE'S OIL CO. INC			4834
16-01342	FUEL DELIVERIES - JULY		10,582.84		
2718	08/09/16	ROBER030 ROBERT J. LA RUBBIO			4834
16-01321	REFUND TENNIS CAMP FEE		25.00		
2719	08/09/16	SSWOR010 S&S WORLDWIDE			4834
16-01068	SUPPLIES FOR DAYCAMP		478.08		
2720	08/09/16	ZZSHA010 CHERYL SHAW			4834
16-01352	CAMP BUS TIPS PAINTING & GOLF		160.00		
16-01353	BREAKFAST FOR COUNSELORS		129.38		
			<u>289.38</u>		

August 5, 2016
11:05 AM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 2

Check #	Check Date	Vendor	Amount Paid	Reconciled/Void	Ref Num
PO #	Description				Contract
2720	CHERYL SHAW				
Report Totals					
			<u>Paid</u>	<u>Void</u>	
	Checks:		14	0	
	Direct Deposit:		0	0	
	Total:		14	0	
			<u>Amount Paid</u>	<u>Amount Void</u>	
			16,017.13	0.00	
			0.00	0.00	
			<u>16,017.13</u>	<u>0.00</u>	

August 5, 2016
10:56 AM

BOROUGH OF PARK RIDGE
Check Register By Check Id

Page No: 1

Range of Checking Accts: 28GOLDEN AGE	to 28GOLDEN AGE	Range of Check Ids: 1028 to 1028
Report Type: All Checks	Report Format: Condensed	Check Type: Computer: Y Manual: Y Dir Deposit: Y

Check #	Check Date	Vendor	Amount Paid	Reconciled/Void	Ref Num
PO #	Description				Contract
1028	08/09/16	FLYNN010 FLYNN'S DELICATESSEN/CATERERS			4833
16-01388	SENIOR LUNCHEON 8/2/16		665.00		

Report Totals		<u>Paid</u>	<u>Void</u>	<u>Amount Paid</u>	<u>Amount Void</u>
	Checks:	1	0	665.00	0.00
	Direct Deposit:	0	0	0.00	0.00
	Total:	1	0	665.00	0.00

COMMUNICATIONS:

None

OLD BUSINESS:

None

NEW BUSINESS:

RECREATION & CULTURAL COMMITTEE

Mayor Maguire asks for a motion to appoint the following member to the **RECREATION & CULTURAL COMMITTEE** for the year 2016.

Ori Kasday

A motion was made by Council President Bosi and seconded by Councilmember Capilli to confirm.

AYES: Councilmembers Szot, Misciagna, Capilli, Council President Bosi

ABSENT: Councilmembers Bertini, Oppelt

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mayor Maguire asks for a motion to approve the minutes as follows:

Closed Session Minutes Dated July 26, 2016

Public Hearing Minutes dated July 12, 2016

A motion was made by Council President Bosi and seconded by Councilmember Szot to confirm.

AYES: Councilmembers Szot, Misciagna, Capilli, Council President Bosi

ABSENT: Councilmembers Bertini, Oppelt

Mayor Maguire: Before we adjourn - two quick things. One is I want to thank you all for coming out and asking the questions. We certainly welcome the input and anything we can do to explain what is going on. I know it is frustrating when you hear there is litigation, but I think it is important for everyone to understand what affordable housing means to Park Ridge and its impact on Park Ridge as well as the downtown redevelopment. I do want to commend you all for coming out tonight.

I was also asked to mention the 9/11 Memorial which will be held at 6:30p.m – Hopefully, you can all attend and we will see you all at Veteran’s Park.

ADJOURN

A motion was made by Council President Bosi and seconded by Councilmember Misciagna to adjourn the regular Mayor and Council meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 9:21 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Kelley R. O'Donnell".

Kelley R. O'Donnell, RMC
Borough Clerk/Administrator